

## Jellyfields - Draft Management Plan Consultation Summer 2020:

### 1. Do you support the new vision for the management of Jellyfields?

		Response Percent	Response Total							
1	Yes	100.00%	3							
2	No	0.00%	0							
3	Don't Know	0.00%	0							
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum	1	Mean	1	Std. Deviation	0	Satisfaction Rate	0	answered	3
	Maximum	1	Variance	0	Std. Error	0			skipped	1

### 2. Do you support the new objectives for the management of Jellyfields?

		Response Percent	Response Total							
1	Yes	66.67%	2							
2	No	33.33%	1							
3	Don't Know	0.00%	0							
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum	1	Mean	1.33	Std. Deviation	0.47	Satisfaction Rate	16.67	answered	3
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.22	Std. Error	0.27			skipped	1

#### Comments: (1)

1	<a href="#">10/08/2020 17:38 PM</a> <a href="#">ID: 146332588</a>	Largely agree, but do not think trees should be removed based on whether they are ""invasive"". There will be many creatures for which these trees are home!
---	--	--

### 3. Do you agree with the proposal to commission a specialist survey of the Wet Alder Woodland to better inform the new management plan?

		Response Percent	Response Total							
1	Yes	100.00%	3							
2	No	0.00%	0							
3	Don' Know	0.00%	0							
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum	1	Mean	1	Std. Deviation	0	Satisfaction Rate	0	answered	3
	Maximum	1	Variance	0	Std. Error	0			skipped	1

#### Comments: (1)

1	<a href="#">05/09/2020 10:59 AM</a> <a href="#">ID: 147624311</a>	At one time the depression to the left of the path near the entrance, which has been colonised by alders, was a natural sip pond which the alders have caused to silt up. In
---	--	--

### 3. Do you agree with the proposal to commission a specialist survey of the Wet Alder Woodland to better inform the new management plan?

		Response Percent	Response Total
	the spring it used to be full of frog-spawn and was a aid to flood alleviation. Some years ago there were plans to re-instate the pond, but these floundered on safety grounds. Having a shallow pond would greatly increase the range of wildlife. Could this be re-considered?		

### 4. Do you agree with the new cutting regimes for the defined grassland areas?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	100.00%	3
2	No	0.00%	0
3	Don' Know	0.00%	0
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum 1 Mean 1 Std. Deviation 0 Satisfaction Rate 0	answered	3
	Maximum 1 Variance 0 Std. Error 0	skipped	1

### 5. Do you agree with the proposals to maintain and enhance the core circular footpath?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	66.67%	2
2	No	33.33%	1
3	Don' Know	0.00%	0
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum 1 Mean 1.33 Std. Deviation 0.47 Satisfaction Rate 16.67	answered	3
	Maximum 2 Variance 0.22 Std. Error 0.27	skipped	1


#### Comments: (2)


1	<a href="#">05/09/2020 10:59 AM ID: 147624311</a>	The plan states that an objective is to ""Work with dog walkers to address dog fouling issues and assess number and siting of dog waste bins."" Why only with dog walkers? Other active users of the amenity are put-off by free running dogs fouling everywhere. Aren't their views worth considering also?
2	<a href="#">05/09/2020 11:58 AM ID: 147627046</a>	Maintaining one meter wide verges in a narrow reserve will significantly alter the character. There are a number of places people can pass. Perhaps one or two more pull-ins would be a more cost effective and less invasive option.

### 6. Do you agree with the proposals to enhance the flood alleviation capacities of the Walditch Stream?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	100.00%	3
2	No	0.00%	0

6. Do you agree with the proposals to enhance the flood alleviation capacities of the Walditch Stream?								Response Percent	Response Total	
3	Don' Know							0.00%	0	
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum	1	Mean	1	Std. Deviation	0	Satisfaction Rate	0		
	Maximum	1	Variance	0	Std. Error	0				
								answered	3	
								skipped	1	
Comments: (1)										
1	<a href="#">05/09/2020 10:59 AM</a> <a href="#">ID: 147624311</a>						Please see my earlier comments on re-instating the sip pond.			

7. Do you agree with the proposal to organise volunteer workdays to take on regular site management tasks?								Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Yes							100.00%	3	
2	No							0.00%	0	
3	Don' Know							0.00%	0	
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum	1	Mean	1	Std. Deviation	0	Satisfaction Rate	0		
	Maximum	1	Variance	0	Std. Error	0				
								answered	3	
								skipped	1	
Comments: (1)										
1	<a href="#">05/09/2020 10:59 AM</a> <a href="#">ID: 147624311</a>						Why did they stop? I used to enjoy them.			

8. Do you agree with the proposal for new benches and information boards?								Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Yes							100.00%	3	
2	No							0.00%	0	
3	Don' Know							0.00%	0	
<b>Statistics</b>	Minimum	1	Mean	1	Std. Deviation	0	Satisfaction Rate	0		
	Maximum	1	Variance	0	Std. Error	0				
								answered	3	
								skipped	1	
Comments: (1)										
1	<a href="#">05/09/2020 10:59 AM</a> <a href="#">ID: 147624311</a>						DOGS MUST BE KEPT ON LEADS would be a good start.  And whoever thought of siting the seat next to a dog-waste bin at the entrance? Try sitting there on a hot day!			

**9. Any other comments you'd like to make about the Jellyfields management plan:**

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	3
1	<a href="#">21/08/2020 13:51 PM</a> <a href="#">ID: 146902669</a>	<p>Having read through the previous reports, I'd like to make the following comments:</p> <p>1. While I appreciate the proposed efforts to manage the trees on site, there is no mention of the extensive (and spreading) disease in trees a few meters away in Walditch Road. I feel that without addressing this on-going (the last 20+ years) problem, the council may be in danger of throwing money and effort into a scheme that's already in danger of being overwhelmed by external problems.</p> <p>2. I don't really see much mention of the 'wild flower meadow.' It's already been hacked down prematurely this year - now it seems to be being described as a general open area. A lot of money has already been ploughed into the area, resulting in the destruction of the previous habitat and wildlife.</p> <p>3. The fields bordering the Jellyfields are being increasingly developed - this summer has seen removal of trees and hedges - some of the trees actually in Jellyfields. Has any consideration been given to the development of surrounding land? While I'd love to see Jellyfields preserved as a wildlife area, I also don't want to see a load more money thrown at the area if it's to become nothing more than a dog walking area for a housing estate.</p> <p>Sorry to be negative, and sorry to not answer the previous questions, but given my remarks I'm sure you can see why I think it's a waste of my time. I'm deeply concerned about this space, and appreciate efforts to care for it. However, if there's a real commitment to care for it and preserve it, I think a wider consideration needs to be given to it.</p>	
2	<a href="#">05/09/2020 10:59 AM</a> <a href="#">ID: 147624311</a>	<p>If there is to be a working party, then I would happily like to join.                      hanson5up@hotmail.com</p>	
3	<a href="#">05/09/2020 11:58 AM</a> <a href="#">ID: 147627046</a>	<p>I walk through Jellyfields most days and it's wonderful that it's being invested in.</p>	
		answered	3
		skipped	1